

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 10/642,813	08/19/2003	Vipul Narain Roy	3317	
7	590 10/25/2006		EXAMINER	
DR VIPUL NARAIN ROY			KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM	
c/o DR ATUL 18154 TRUFL			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
BOYDS, MD	20841		3762	* • •
·			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/642,813	ROY, VIPUL NARAIN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael Kahelin	3762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	'			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	<u>luly 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 17-30 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>17-30</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2006 is/are: a))∏ accepted or b)⊠ obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	 Certified copies of the priority documen Certified copies of the priority documen 		Application No.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
	application from the International Burea	•	received in this realional clago				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.				
		·					
Attachmer							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Examiner maintains the position that the disclosure of the prior-filed application, Application No. 60/406,987, fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application. The elements lacking support are: two distinct radiological poles covered in insulating material, a telemetry wand, and compatibility with an internet/network connection facility. Although the excerpts cited by Applicant are noted, these excerpts are broad and do not contain the specific subject matter claimed. Please note that though priority to the 60/406,987 application will not be considered, these elements are present in the non-provisional application (the instant Application No. 10/642,813) and will have a priority date consistent with the filing date of the application (i.e. 8/19/2003).

Drawings

2. Based on Applicant's remarks concerning Figure 3A in the communication filed 7/23/2006, the figure should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the

Art Unit: 3762

applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The amendments to the specification are acknowledged and accepted. Please include a description of Fig. 3A in the "Brief Description of Several Views".

Claim Objections

- 4. Claims 17, 28, 29, and 30 are objected to because of the following informalities: "the" should be inserted between "facility" and "patient" in claim 17; "the" should be inserted before "PPM/ICD" in claim 28; one instance of "wherein" should be deleted and "the" should be inserted before "software" in claim 29; and "the" should be inserted before "software" and "an" should be inserted before "PPM/ICD" in claim 30.

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claims 18, 19, 22, 24 and 25 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

 Because the magnet and coil are already set forth in claim 17 and the claims allow them to be "any other shape", the claims place no further limitations on the magnet and coil.

 In regards to claim 24, it is already specified in claim 17 that the coil can move in

Art Unit: 3762

multiple planes. In regards to claim 25, it is already specified in claim 17 that the box has two radiologically distinct poles.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. Claims 17-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. In regards to claim 17, "PPM/ICD box" is vague because it is unclear whether this is the housing for the PPM/ICD or some other object. The fact that the box has radiological markers implies that it is the internally implanted PPM/ICD housing, but the statement that the PPM/ICD will be supplied with programming software and a telemetry wand implies that at least a portion of the box is external. The limitation "if internal small generator fails" is vague because it would seem that the PPM/ICD box has two radiologically distinct poles regardless of whether the generator fails. The phrase "patient is connected" should be changed to "PPM/ICD is connected" because the claim is, if effect, claiming a patient, which is nonstatutory subject matter. The phrase "round the clock" is unclear because it is a slang phrase. It is suggested to recite something similar to "at all times". The phrase "these changes" is vague because it is unclear to what "these" refers. Further, "the battery" and "the PPM/ICD box" are lacking antecedent basis. It is suggested to first positively state that these elements are part of the invention, then further limit them by how they function and how they are connected

Art Unit: 3762

to the other elements. For instance, claim 17 may be recited in a manner similar to the following, but it is noted that this does not suggest or imply allowability of the claims:

A permanent pacemaker or ICD system comprising:

A PPM/ICD box;

A small electric power generator within the PPM/ICD box

comprising a small magnet and coil wherein the coil

encircles the magnet and the magnet is adapted to rotate;

A battery within the PPM/ICD box adapted to be recharged by the small electric power generator;

Radiological markers...(i.e. insert the structural relationship to the other elements and any functional limitations)

A large external circular coil...

Programming software...

A telemetry wand...

Etc.

- 8. In regards to claim 20, it is specified in claim 17 that the coil surrounds the magnet. It is unclear how both the magnet surrounds the coil and the coil surrounds the magnet.
- 9. In regards to claim 30, the claim is vague because it is unclear whether the centre is actually part of the claimed invention or only compatible with the system (i.e. the system is adapted to be used with the centre). Further, "will offer" is vague because

it is unclear whether the centre actually offers 24 hour service or "will offer" the service at some future time.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 7/23/2006 in regards to the prior art rejections have 10. been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 11. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Conclusion

This action is a final rejection and is intended to close the prosecution of this 12. application. Applicant's reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to this action is limited either to an appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or to an amendment complying with the requirements set forth below.

If applicant should desire to appeal any rejection made by the examiner, a Notice of Appeal must be filed within the period for reply identifying the rejected claim or claims appealed. The Notice of Appeal must be accompanied by the required appeal fee of \$250.

If applicant should desire to file an amendment, entry of a proposed amendment after final rejection cannot be made as a matter of right unless it merely cancels claims

or complies with a formal requirement made earlier. Amendments touching the merits of the application which otherwise might not be proper may be admitted upon a showing a good and sufficient reasons why they are necessary and why they were not presented earlier.

A reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection must include the appeal from, or cancellation of, each rejected claim. The filing of an amendment after final rejection, whether or not it is entered, does not stop the running of the statutory period for reply to the final rejection unless the examiner holds the claims to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, if a Notice of Appeal has not been filed properly within the period for reply, or any extension of this period obtained under either 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b), the application will become abandoned.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kahelin whose telephone number is (571) 272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WWK MBJK

GEORGE R. EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER